Ex. Q

Excerpt of Transcript for Conference with Judge Broderick on May 26, 2022 - Chen's Counsel Admits to Fabricating the TCS on Record

M5QHAmeC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 AMERIWAY CORPORATION, 4 Plaintiff, 5 19 Civ. 9407 (VSB) V. 6 MAY YAN CHEN, et al., 7 Defendants. Telephone Conference 8 New York, N.Y. 9 May 26, 2022 11:00 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. VERNON S. BRODERICK, 12 District Judge 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 PETER SCOTT WOLFGRAM Attorney for Plaintiff 16 -and-STRATUM LAW LLC 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff BY: XIYAN ZHANG 18 SCHRIER, FISCELLA & SUSSMAN, LLC 19 Attorneys for Defendants BY: RICHARD ERIC SCHRIER 20 -and-SHAYNE LAW GROUP, P.C. 21 Attorneys for Defendants BY: WILLIAM C. SHAYNE 22 23 24 25

M5QHAmeC

MR. SCHRIER: This is really a red herring, and it's -- Mr. Wolfgram has created this whole scenario and is advancing it, but it's a simple situation.

Ms. Chen, before going into business herself in 2012, worked for another customs broker. In the normal course of business, a customs broker needs to have a power of attorney signed, as you probably know, to be able to represent someone at the customs on their behalf. And with that there are terms and conditions. Ms. Chen used the form that was used by her employer when she opened up her own business after receiving her license as a customs broker. She'll be testifying, and we've said as an offer of proof, that her — that she got that, gave it to a local printer, they printed up the forms for power of attorney and the terms and conditions, and it was one-page terms and conditions.

Mr. Shayne is -- his specialty is international import/export law. He's been working in this field for 30, almost 40 years, I think, and whenever -- and we represent quite a number of customs brokers. In the normal course of Mr. Shayne's business, he keeps abreast of the continuing decisions related to customs brokers and the import of products, and as a result what he's done over the years, in the normal course of his business is he updates the terms and conditions. Whenever he gets a new client, he doesn't even bother looking at the terms and conditions they use because it

M5QHAmeC

doesn't matter. He just says: Here's the new one. Start using it. And he's known in the field to be an expert in this area.

When Mr. Shayne was first retained by Ms. Chen, which was after the summons and complaint was filed in this case, he did precisely what he did with all his other clients and said: Here's the new terms and conditions. Start using it. And they started using it, put it on their website.

The terms and conditions that Mr. Wolfgram is saying were fabricated by Mr. Shayne, yes, they were fabricated by Mr. Shayne, and they were given to the client after this case started. It has nothing whatsoever to do with the issues in this case. The issues in this case revolve around the initial one.

Now, with regard to the specific question, at the last conference that we had with the magistrate judge, which was earlier this week, the magistrate judge asked us to go back and ask our client to see if he could find -- backtrack for a second. I'm sorry.

They asked for the identity of all the clients who we've previously given these terms and conditions, as we claim that they were sent the terms and conditions, and they claim they were not. That's the key issue. So with all the discussion by Mr. Wolfgram, there is a sharp issue. They say they didn't get it; we say they did. It's a sharp issue, and

M5QHAmeC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

of the dismissal without opposition, that produced an order of the court, and therefore this case is no longer viable because of the prior decision. That's why it's important for them to be heard together.

THE COURT: All right. As I said, I don't -- I think that that will be fine, and I don't know whether -- is the briefing on the case that's currently -- that's here for reargument, or however it's styled, is that fully briefed?

MR. SCHRIER: The case -- in the Ameriway case, that motion has been fully briefed and submitted. The one that's -- I'm sorry?

THE COURT: Waiting on mine in the 12(b)(6)?

MR. SCHRIER: Correct.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

All right. Anything else, Mr. Wolfgram?

MR. WOLFGRAM: No, your Honor. Appreciate it.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schrier, anything else?

MR. SCHRIER: No. We appreciate the Court's time.

Thank you so much.

THE COURT: OK. Thank you very much, everybody.

We'll stand adjourned. Please, everyone, stay safe.

(Adjourned)

23

24

25